### **RESEARCH ARTICLE**



**Open Access** 

# A system based network approach to ethanol tolerance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*

Ceyda Kasavi<sup>1</sup>, Serpil Eraslan<sup>1</sup>, Kazim Yalcin Arga<sup>2</sup>, Ebru Toksoy Oner<sup>2</sup> and Betul Kirdar<sup>1\*</sup>

#### Abstract

**Background:** *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* has been widely used for bio-ethanol production and development of rational genetic engineering strategies leading both to the improvement of productivity and ethanol tolerance is very important for cost-effective bio-ethanol production. Studies on the identification of the genes that are up- or down-regulated in the presence of ethanol indicated that the genes may be involved to protect the cells against ethanol stress, but not necessarily required for ethanol tolerance.

**Results:** In the present study, a novel network based approach was developed to identify candidate genes involved in ethanol tolerance. Protein-protein interaction (PPI) network associated with ethanol tolerance (tETN) was reconstructed by integrating PPI data with Gene Ontology (GO) terms. Modular analysis of the constructed networks revealed genes with no previously reported experimental evidence related to ethanol tolerance and resulted in the identification of 17 genes with previously unknown biological functions. We have randomly selected four of these genes and deletion strains of two genes (*YDR307W* and *YHL042W*) were found to exhibit improved tolerance to ethanol when compared to wild type strain.

The genome-wide transcriptomic response of yeast cells to the deletions of YDR307W and YHL042W in the absence of ethanol revealed that the deletion of YDR307W and YHL042W genes resulted in the transcriptional re-programming of the metabolism resulting from a mis-perception of the nutritional environment. Yeast cells perceived an excess amount of glucose and a deficiency of methionine or sulfur in the absence of YDR307W and YHL042W, respectively, possibly resulting from a defect in the nutritional sensing and signaling or transport mechanisms. Mutations leading to an increase in ribosome biogenesis were found to be important for the improvement of ethanol tolerance. Modulations of chronological life span were also identified to contribute to ethanol tolerance in yeast.

**Conclusions:** The system based network approach developed allows the identification of novel gene targets for improved ethanol tolerance and supports the highly complex nature of ethanol tolerance in yeast.

Keywords: Ethanol tolerance network, Candidate genes, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Protein-protein interaction network

#### Background

*S. cerevisiae* can produce high concentrations of ethanol [1-3]. Therefore, it is commonly used for alcohol related brewing and fermentation technologies such as the production of alcoholic beverages, ethanol, and other products in food and chemical industries [2,4].

During industrial bio-ethanol production processes, the increase in ethanol level acts as an inhibitor of microorganism growth and viability [5-8]. Therefore, yeast cells that have high growth ability under high ethanol concentrations are preferred in ethanol production

\* Correspondence: kirdar@boun.edu.tr

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, Boğaziçi University, Istanbul, Turkey Full list of author information is available at the end of the article processes [9]. Development of rational genetic engineering strategies leading both to the improvement of productivity and ethanol tolerance in yeast is considered to be very important for cost-effective bio-ethanol production [3,10].

Several studies were carried out to understand the molecular basis of ethanol stress and ethanol tolerance in *S. cerevisiae* [2,3,9,11-15]. The genes involved in ethanol sensitivity or resistance to ethanol and their functional categories could be identified by screening single gene knock out collection of yeast cells in the presence of ethanol [11,13-15]. However, the reason for the improved ethanol resistance in the deletion strains remained unclear [3]. Investigations on the genome-wide transcriptional



© 2014 Kasavi et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly credited. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

response of yeast cells to ethanol revealed a series of affected biological processes in response to ethanol stress. The genes involved in glycolysis and mitochondrial function were observed to be up-regulated and the genes involved in energy-demanding growth related processes were commonly found down-regulated under ethanol stress in several studies [2]. The genes associated with ethanol tolerance in yeast were also found to be linked to a broad range of different functional categories and biological functions including mitochondrial function, protein sorting, aromatic amino acid metabolism, vacuolar, vesicular and peroxisomal transport [3]. However despite the great efforts, the mechanisms underlying ethanol tolerance and ethanol toxicity are still not well known.

Ethanol tolerance and ethanol stress are considered to be two closely related characteristics of the overall effects of ethanol on the performance of yeast. Although there is no clear definition for these two different aspects of the same process, ethanol tolerance was defined as the strength or survival performance of yeast cells during the chronic ethanol exposure [2]. Studies on the identification of the genes that are up-regulated in the presence of ethanol indicated that these genes may be involved to protect the cells against ethanol stress, but not necessarily required for ethanol tolerance [11,12]. It has been reported that the up-regulated genes in the presence of ethanol are commonly selected as targets for the construction of ethanol tolerant strains. However, the genes which do not display any change in their expression levels were found to be more important for the growth of yeast cell in the presence of ethanol [11]. Engineering of ethanol resistance by the modification of the binding properties of key transcriptional factors indicated that ethanol tolerance is associated with complex network of interactions [16]. Association of ethanol tolerance with an interplay of complex networks at the genome level also indicated that a system based approach would be required to elucidate and understand the tolerance and stress response mechanisms in yeast [3,17].

Protein-protein interactions (PPIs) are essential for all biological processes and are deposited in publicly available databases. The PPI network analysis elicits rich system level information to understand the changes in cellular functions. Although functional relatedness can be achieved at any level of interaction; including physical interaction as well as co-expression, co-regulation and phenotypic behaviour, functional linkage networks are considered to be important to explore the general organization principles. Functionally related proteins are known to act usually in the form of modules of highly interacting proteins. The complex functions of the whole system can be investigated by these interactions within the modules which are considered as building blocks of biological systems. Human protein interactions have been used to identify potential disease causing proteins and targets for therapeutic interventions [18-21]. Systems based modular approaches were also extensively used for gene annotation, protein function prediction, identification of regulators and novel proteins in molecular pathways in yeast [22-27].

Several algorithms, based on edge-betweenness centrality [28], on shortest path distances within a network, on the Statistical-Algorithmic Method for Bicluster Analysis (SAMBA) [29] or on the approximation mapping of network nodes into Euclidean space followed by fuzzy c-means clustering [30] were developed to identify dense sub-graphs and functional modules within the PPI networks. The MCODE algorithm based on vertex weighting by local neighbourhood density [31] has been applied to various networks to detect densely connected regions, such as the functional modules associated with cell growth and cell cycle in *S. cerevisiae* [32].

The aim of the present study was to develop a novel network based modular approach to identify the genes which may have potential roles in ethanol tolerance in S. cerevisiae with the ultimate goal of finding novel targets for the rational design of ethanol tolerant strains. In order to identify candidate proteins involved in ethanol tolerance, a PPI network related to ethanol tolerance was reconstructed by integrating PPI data with Gene Ontology (GO) terms. Modular analysis of the constructed networks revealed genes with no previously reported experimental evidence related to ethanol tolerance. The hypotheses were tested experimentally by randomly selecting four deletion strains and then two of these strains with deletions of previously unknown biological functions (YDR307W and YHL042W), were found to exhibit improved tolerance to ethanol when compared to the wild type strain. Furthermore, in order to shed light into the underlying mechanism of ethanol tolerance and biological function of these genes, whole genome level transcriptional response to the deletions of YDR307W and YHL042W in S. cerevisiae was investigated.

#### Methods

#### Network reconstruction

Selective permissibility algorithm (SPA) that integrates protein-protein interaction data with the GO annotations was used to reconstruct a network constituted by the candidate proteins involved in ethanol tolerance as described by Arga *et al.* [33]. The reconstruction of Ethanol Tolerance Network (ETN) was initiated by selecting a total of 14 core proteins which were reported to be associated with ethanol tolerance from *Saccharomyces* Genome Database (SGD) (Table 1). Then an annotation collection table was created by pooling the GO annotations of core proteins in terms of cellular component, molecular function and biological process. This annotation collection table (Additional file 1) covered 130 GO annotations

Table 1 Core proteins of the network

Core proteins	Description	Reference	
URA7	CTP synthase isozyme	[1]	
LAP3 (GAL6)	Cysteine aminopeptidase	[1]	
EDE1	Endocytic protein	[35]	
ELO1	Elongase I (fatty acid elongation)	[35]	
TPS1	Trehalose-6-phosphate synthase	[35]	
MSN2	Transcriptional activator	[35,36]	
DOG1	2-deoxyglucose-6-phosphate phosphatase	[36]	
HAL1	Cytoplasmic protein involved in halotolerance	[36]	
INO1	Inositol-3-phosphate synthase	[36]	
OLE1	Delta(9) fatty acid desaturase	[37]	
CYB5	Cytochrome b5	[11]	
SFL1	Repression of flocculation-related gene	[11]	
HSP26	Heat shock protein	[38]	
RTC3	Involved in RNA metabolism	[38]	

extracted out of a total of 4189 annotations (about 3%). In the reconstruction phase, a candidate protein was included to the network if all of three GO annotations (component/ function/process) of the protein match to those in the annotation collection table. BioGrid [34] database release 3.1.73 was used to collect physical interactions between proteins. Figure 1 summarizes the reconstruction of ETN network. Finally, self-loops, duplicated edges, and significantly small connected components were eliminated.

#### Network tuning

The reconstructed network was statistically tuned using the eigenvector centrality (EVC) metric. 100 random networks were generated by preserving the degree of each node. EVC values of ETN and random networks were computed in MATLAB 7.7 (MathWorks Inc.). For randomized networks, average values of EVC corresponding to each node were computed and a hypothesis testing was carried out for all nodes using two-tailed t-test with a confidence level of 99.99%. Consequently, the proteins in ETN, which are significantly different from those in random networks, were identified and the tuned ETN (tETN) was obtained by extracting physical interactions between these statistically significant nodes.

Topological properties of the networks, such as degrees, betweenness centralities, diameters, average shortest path lengths and clustering coefficients were examined by Network Analyzer [39] plug-in of Cytoscape [40].

#### Module identification and functional enrichment

The highly connected protein subgroups of the reconstructed networks were identified via MCODE [31] plugin of Cytoscape. In MCODE, loops were not included while scoring the networks and the degree threshold was set to 2. The node score threshold, K-core threshold, and maximum depth were set to 0.2, 2 and 100, respectively. The fluff parameter was turned off and the hair-cut parameter was turned on.

The GO enrichment analysis of modules, having at least 5 members, were performed via BINGO [41] plug-in (v2.44) of Cytoscape. The enrichment was evaluated by



hypergeometric test using whole annotation as reference set; the multiple testing correction was made by Benjamini and Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) correction; and the significance level was chosen to be 0.0001.

#### Strains and media

The homozygous single gene deletion strains of *S. cerevisiae* from a genetic background of BY4743 (MATa/MATa his3 $\Delta$  0/his3 $\Delta$  0; leu2 $\Delta$  /leu2 $\Delta$  0; met15 $\Delta$  0/MET15; LYS2/ lys2 $\Delta$  0; ura3 $\Delta$  0/ura3 $\Delta$  0) used in this study (Table 2) were obtained from EUROSCARF [42] collection.

The precultures were inoculated with a single colony of cells taken from yeast extract-peptone-glucose (YPD) plates and incubated in YPD medium (2% [w/v] D-glucose, 2% [w/v] peptone, 1% [w/v] yeast extract) at  $30^{\circ}$ C and 180 rpm.

#### Determination of ethanol tolerance

The ethanol tolerance of *S. cerevisiae* strains was determined by means of colony-forming ability and viability. YPD medium was inoculated with a final OD of 0.05 at 600 nm and incubated at 30°C and 180 rpm for 24 h. In order to test the colony-forming abilities of the cells, samples taken from the liquid cultures were serially diluted and spotted onto YPD plates containing 5, 7, 10% (v/v) ethanol. The colony formation was monitored after 72 h incubation at 30°C. All experiments were carried out in duplicate.

Viability of cells was determined by colony-forming unit (CFU) method [43]. *S. cerevisiae* strains were grown in YPD medium with working volumes of 200 ml in 1 L flasks and a preculture at a volume fraction of 1% was used to inoculate the culture. After 10 h of incubation at  $30^{\circ}$ C under 180 rpm shaking, cells were treated with ethanol to have 8% (v/v) final ethanol concentration. Samples from the liquid cultures were  $10^3$ -fold diluted and spread onto YPD plates (two biological and two technical replicates) just before ethanol treatment and 2, 4, 6, 8 h after ethanol treatment. Then, the colony formation was monitored after 48 h incubation at  $30^{\circ}$ C. All cultures were presumed to be 100% viable at time 0 (just before ethanol treatment) and all CFU measurements were normalized accordingly.

#### Determination of chronological life span

*S. cerevisiae* strains were grown in YPD medium. Samples taken from the cultures were  $10^4$ -fold diluted and spread onto YPD plates (two biological and two technical replicates) for each strain and time point. Colony formation was monitored after 48 h incubation at 30°C. The number of CFUs at Day 0 (72 h after inoculation) was considered to be the initial survival baseline, and all cultures were presumed to be 100% viable at this time point and all CFU measurements were normalized accordingly.

## Determination of biomass, glucose and ethanol concentrations

Dry cell weights (DCW) of steady state cultures were determined gravimetrically. Cells were first recovered from 1 ml culture samples through centrifugation, then washed with distilled water three times and dried at 70°C until constant weight was achieved. Reported DCW values are averages of five biological and three technical replicates for each data point.

The optical densities (ODs) of samples collected during the exponential phase of growth were used for the determination of maximum specific growth rates ( $\mu_{max}$ ). Extracellular glucose and ethanol concentrations were determined enzymatically using enzymatic analysis kits (Sigma) as described by the manufacturer.

The two-tailed Student's t-test assuming two samples had equal variances was used to identify the observed significant differences from the wild type strain. A p-value threshold of 0.05 was selected to determine the significant differences between the fermentation properties of the mutants and that of the reference strain.

#### **RNA** extraction

*S. cerevisiae* strains were grown in YPD medium with working volumes of 200 ml in 1 L flasks. Cultures were kept with continuous shaking at 30°C and 180 rpm. In order to analyze the transcriptional response of cells to *YDR307W* and *YHL042W* gene deletions, samples taken at the mid-exponential phase of growth at an OD range of 0.85-0.95 were used. Samples harvested for the transcriptome analysis were immediately frozen in liquid

	•
Strain	Genotype
ydr307w∆/ydr307w∆	BY4743; Mat a/α; his3Δ 1/his3Δ 1; leu2Δ 0/leu2Δ 0; lys2Δ 0/LYS2; MET15/met15Δ 0; ura3Δ 0/ura3Δ 0; YDR307w::kanMX4/ YDR307w::kanMX4
yhl042w∆/yhl042w∆	BY4743; Mat a/α; his3Δ 1/his3Δ 1; leu2Δ 0/leu2Δ 0; lys2Δ 0/LYS2; MET15/met15Δ 0; ura3Δ 0/ura3Δ 0; YHL042w::kanMX4/ YHL042w::kanMX4
ymr215w∆/ymr215w∆	BY4743; Mat a/α; his3Δ 1/his3Δ 1; leu2Δ 0/leu2Δ 0; lys2Δ 0/LYS2; MET15/ met15Δ 0; ura3Δ 0/ura3Δ 0; YMR215w::kanMX4/ YMR215w::kanMX4
ypl264c∆/ypl264c∆	BY4743; Mat a/α; his3Δ 1/his3Δ 1; leu2Δ 0/leu2Δ 0; lys2Δ 0/LYS2; MET15/ met15Δ 0; ura3Δ 0/ura3Δ 0; YPL264c::kanMX4/ YPL264c::kanMX4

Table	2	Yeast	strains	used	in	this	study	
-------	---	-------	---------	------	----	------	-------	--

nitrogen and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until RNA isolation. These experiments were carried out in triplicate.

RNA extraction was carried out in a robotic workstation, QIAcube (Qiagen, USA) using the enzymatic lysis protocol as described by Qiagen RNeasy mini kit (Cat no: 74106). The quality and quantity of the isolated RNA were checked via spectrophotometric analysis using UV–vis spectrophotometer (NanoDrop ND-1000, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., USA). RNA samples were subjected to second quality check step before used in microarray analysis. RNA integrity number (RIN) values were checked using a microfluidics-based platform (Bioanalyzer 2100 Agilent Technologies, USA) using RNA6000 Nanokit (Agilent Technologies, USA) and samples with RIN values 7–10 were processed.

#### Microarray analysis

First-strand cDNA was synthesized and then converted into a double-stranded DNA initially from 100 ng of total RNA using GeneChip<sup>®</sup> 3' IVT Express Kit (Affymetrix Inc., USA). This double stranded cDNA was used as a template for *in vitro* transcription and synthesis of biotinlabelled aRNA. The final product was purified and quantified using the Nanodrop spectrophotometer before fragmentation. The purification and fragmentation steps were carried out using GeneChip reagents. Fragmented aRNA was evaluated using Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Germany). Affymetrix Yeast 2.0 arrays were prepared for hybridization using the reagents supplied in the GeneChip<sup>®</sup> Hybridization, Wash, and Stain Kit. A total of 5 µg of aRNA was loaded onto 169 format arrays and hybridized for 16 hours. The chips were then loaded into a fluidics station for washing and staining using Affymetrix Command Console® Software (AGCC) 3.0.1 Fluidics Control Module with Mini\_euk2v3. Finally, the chips were loaded onto the Affymetrix GeneChip Scan**ner 3000**. All applications were performed as described in the Affymetrix GeneChip®Expression Analysis Technical Manual. The microarray data from this study have been submitted to ArrayExpress at the European Bioinformatics Institute under accession number [E-MTAB-2415] in compliance with MIAME guidelines.

#### Microarray data acquisition and analysis

For the analysis of transcriptomics data, CEL files were normalized via quantile normalization using RMA [44] as implemented in the *affy* package [45] of R/Bioconductor suite of tools [46]. Significantly expressed genes were identified from the normalized log-expression values using the multiple testing option of LIMMA [47]. The *p*-value threshold of 0.01 and a fold change cutoff of 1.5 were maintained to identify significantly expressed genes. Genes satisfying both *p*-value and fold change thresholds, were determined as significantly and differentially expressed

Page 5 of 14

genes. Statistically significant genes were used as inputs for gene set enrichment analysis based on GO annotations. All GO enrichment analysis was performed via GO Term Enrichment tool in the Amigo software [48] by using SGD filter, with a maximum p-value of 0.01.

#### Identification of reporter transcription factors

The regulatory pathways that were affected in response to the deletions of *YDR307W* and *YHL042W* genes were identified by Reporter Features analysis [49,50] implemented in BioMet Toolbox [51]. Reporter Feature algorithm was used for the integration of regulome and transcriptome. Transcription factors (TFs) in the consensus list described in the Yeastract database [52] were considered for the construction of a regulatory network in yeast. Yeast regulatory network was constructed by extracting the TF - protein interactions with direct evidence in Yeastract database [53]. The 0.05 *p*-value threshold was maintained to determine Reporter TFs.

#### **Results and discussion**

#### Network reconstruction and tuning

A set of 14 proteins were used as the seed and SPA was recruited in a non-iterative manner to reconstruct the ethanol tolerance network (ETN). Then, the network was expanded by using strict criteria based on GO annotation terminology as described in the Methods section. Two core proteins (*DOG1* and *HAL1*) were eliminated from the network due to the lack of physical interactions. The resulting network, consisting of 1962 nodes and 7585 edges, was composed of 14 disconnected subnetworks. The largest connected component, consisting of 1933 nodes and 7569 edges, was further investigated as the final network ETN (Additional file 2).

Accuracy of PPI data is often criticized, since interactome data obtained from high-throughput experiments are thought to have a large number of false positives, i.e. the interactions that are spurious and do not occur in the cell [33,54-56]. Therefore, in this study, the reconstructed network was further tuned statistically using EVC, which is an indicator of the importance of a node within the topological arrangement in a graph [57,58]. Statistical tests were carried out for all nodes in ETN as described in the Methods section. Briefly, a hypothesis testing was carried out to check whether the EVC value of the protein in ETN is significantly different from that in random networks. Following the hypothesis testing, 8% of the nodes in ETN were considered as statistically insignificant and eliminated from the network together with their interactions (7% of the interactions in ETN). 1783 proteins (among 1933 proteins) with differential EVC values were extracted and the resulting network (tETN) consisted of 7037 physical interactions between these proteins (Additional file 3).

The topological analysis of the resultant networks (ETN and tETN) indicated that they have scale-free degree distributions (Additional file 4) and small-world properties characteristic to biological networks. Their topological parameters, such as the diameter, characteristic path length and clustering coefficient were in consistence with other protein interaction networks published in literature. The topological properties of the BioGrid network, constructed using all possible protein-protein interactions in S. cerevisiae reported in BioGrid database, were found to be significantly different from ETN and tETN; the diameter and characteristic path length were 40% and 27% smaller, and the clustering coefficient was 48% higher than those of the ETN and tETN (Additional file 5). Since the average clustering coefficient measures the possible modularity of the network [59-61], the average clustering coefficient curves were also analyzed for ETN, tETN (Additional file 4) and the BioGrid network (Additional file 6). For all reconstructed networks, the average clustering coefficient versus degree followed a power law distribution with  $C(k) \approx k^{-w}$ . The analysis revealed that ETN and tETN were hierarchical networks having w = 0.80 which in turn was higher than that of the BioGrid network (w = 0.65).

Consequently, analysis of the topological properties of the reconstructed networks revealed that the network tuning prevented random inclusion of proteins into the network and, reduced the number of the proteins without changing the overall topology.

After the completion of the network and during the experimental stage of the present study, 9 genes associated with ethanol tolerance phenotype were included in SGD. Four of these genes (*MIG3, PDR18, SPT15,* and *UTH1*) were found to be part of the tETN. Two (*MAP2, PDE2*) of the five remaining proteins have very few reported physical interactions and are not expected to be included into the tETN in the present study.

A comparison of the genes which were identified to be associated with ethanol tolerance in the four independent deletion library screening studies [11,13-15] and the genes included into tETN resulted in 29% overlap. It was reported that only two mutants carrying *VPS36* and *SMI1* (members of the tETN) deletions were found to be ethanol sensitive across all four studies. This low number of common genes within various studies is possibly due to the differences in the experimental condition and/or strains [2]. Moreover, the comparison of 446 genes which were identified by examining the growth of a deletion library collection in the presence of ethanol [11] with three other single gene knock out studies [13-15] resulted in 18% overlap [2].

Metabolic process GO terms such as transcription, regulation of transcription and gene expression, chromatin modification and organization, oxidation-reduction, protein transport, cellular nitrogen compound, establishment of localization, protein folding, response to oxidative stress, oxoacid, ketone, carboxylic acid, glutamine family amino acid, cell aging, replicative cell aging, vesicle mediated transport, response to stress, amino amino acid, cellular carbohydrate carbohydrate, alcohol, fatty acid, lipid, trehalose, sterol, steroid and ergosterol acetyl CoA metabolic processes were found to be significantly associated (*p*-value < 0.0001) with tETN. Since the majority of these biological process terms were already reported to be associated with ethanol tolerance [2], this analysis provided further support about the functional linkage between ethanol tolerance and tETN.

Aging and ethanol tolerance were not reported previously to be related. However, tETN constructed in this study, was significantly enriched with GO biological process terms including "aging", "cell aging" and "replicative cell aging". This finding indicated a possible association between ethanol tolerance and aging. A variety of cellular mechanisms, including metabolism, energy production, and stress responses, are involved in aging. The association between ethanol tolerance mechanism and other stresses, such as oxidative stress that causes oxidative DNA damage and DNA replication stress during aging also suggests a potential link between cell aging and ethanol tolerance.

The manual investigation of genes related to proline and tryptophan biosynthesis revealed the presence of 4 genes involved in proline biosynthesis (*PRO2, PRO3, PUT2,* and *YHR033W*) and 4 genes involved in tryptophan biosynthesis (*TRP1, TRP3, TRP4,* and *TRP5*) in tETN. An association between genes related to proline and tryptophan biosynthesis and ethanol tolerance was already reported [9]. The presence of most of the proline and tryptophan biosynthesis genes (8 out of 10) in tETN provided additional support that both processes are important for ethanol tolerance.

## Modular analysis and candidate genes for ethanol tolerance

Analysis of tETN by MCODE [31] plug-in of Cytoscape revealed the presence of 35 modules within tETN (Additional file 7). Clusters having at least 5 members (16 clusters) were further investigated and significantly enriched GO biological process terms were identified (Additional file 8). Four clusters (Clusters 1, 2, 6 and 9) were significantly enriched with transcription, regulation of transcription. Cluster 3 was found to be associated with RNA processing, RNA metabolic process and ribosome biogenesis, Cluster 4 with sterol, ergosterol, steroid, lipid metabolic processes, alcoholic metabolic process, and Golgi vesicle transport, Cluster 5 with chromatin modification, Cluster 7 with vitamin B6, thiamin and glutamine metabolic processes, Cluster 10 with transport, localization, pH reduction and regulation, protein folding, ion homeostasis and vacuolar acidification, Cluster 13 with trehalose processes, Cluster 18 with cell cycle regulation of transcription and Cluster 24 with coenzyme/cofactor metabolic processes, and glutathione and sulfur metabolic processes. Golgi vesicle transport and ER to Golgi transport GO process terms were identified to be significantly associated with Clusters 4, 5 and 10. Three clusters (Clusters 11, 12 and 23) were not associated with any specific GO process terms.

17 proteins with unknown biological functions were identified and four proteins (proteins encoded by *YDR307W*, *YHL042W* (members of Cluster 10) and *YMR215W*, and *YPL264C* (members of Cluster 4)) (Additional file 9) were randomly selected as the first targets to test experimentally. *YDR307W* (*PMT7*) is a putative mannosyltransferase similar to Pmt1p with a potential role in protein O-glycosylation [62]; *YHL042W* is a putative protein of unknown function, member of the DUP380 subfamily [63,64]; *YMR215W* (*GAS3*) is a low abundance, possibly inactive member of the GAS family of GPI-containing proteins, a putative 1,3-beta-glucanosyltransferase with similarity to other GAS family members [65-68]; *YPL264C* is a putative membrane protein of unknown function [69,70].

#### Ethanol tolerances of S. cerevisiae strains

The ethanol tolerance of yeast cells carrying homozygous deletion of these four genes were first investigated in the presence of various concentrations of ethanol. Comparison of the colony-forming abilities of these strains with the wild type did not show any difference in the presence of 5% (v/v) ethanol. However, colony forming abilities of the ydr307w $\Delta$ /ydr307w $\Delta$  and yhl042w $\Delta$ /yhl042w $\Delta$  strains were found to be higher in media containing 7 and 10% (v/v) ethanol when compared with that of the wild type strain. Colony formation was observed with 10<sup>6</sup> fold and 10<sup>5</sup> fold diluted cultures of ydr307w $\Delta$ /ydr307w $\Delta$  and

yhl042w $\Delta$ /yhl042w $\Delta$  strains, respectively. Colony formation could only be observed with 10<sup>4</sup> fold diluted cultures of wild type, ypl264c $\Delta$ /ypl264c $\Delta$  and ymr215w $\Delta$ / ymr215w $\Delta$  strains in media containing 10% (v/v) ethanol

(Additional file 10). Comparison of the growth profiles of wild type strain in YPD supplemented with varying amounts of ethanol indicated that the maximum specific growth rates were  $0.438 h^{-1}$  and  $0.0778 h^{-1}$  in media containing 0% (v/v) and 8% (v/v) ethanol, respectively (Additional file 11). Since the growth was very poor and at undetectable levels in the presence of 10% (v/v) ethanol, 8% (v/v) ethanol was selected for further investigations.

Viability analysis of the four deleted strains after ethanol treatment indicated that all deletion mutants showed 1.2 - 2.5 fold higher viability than the wild type strain after 2 hours of ethanol treatment, a prolonged ethanol treatment of the ymr215w $\Delta$ /ymr215w $\Delta$  and ypl264c $\Delta$ / ypl264c $\Delta$  strains resulted in 0.7 and 0.9 fold less viability than the wild type strain, respectively. However, the ydr307w $\Delta$ /ydr307w $\Delta$  and yhl042w $\Delta$ /yhl042w $\Delta$  strains displayed 1.7 and 1.4 fold higher viabilities than the wild type strain after 6 hours of ethanol treatment, respectively (Figure 2). The viability profiles of the wild type, ydr307w $\Delta$ /ydr307w $\Delta$  and yhl042w $\Delta$ /yhl042w $\Delta$  strains were analyzed by ANOVA and highly significant differences in viability were observed between the strains. The fact that the deletions of YDR307W and YHL042W genes significantly increased (p-value < 0.005) the viability of these strains for prolonged treatment of ethanol indicated that these genes were indeed associated with ethanol tolerance in S. cerevisiae and were selected for further studies.

The growth profiles of the mutants were compared with those of the wild type strain in the presence of 8% (v/v) ethanol. The maximum specific growth rates of the



ydr307w $\Delta$ /ydr307w $\Delta$  and yhl042w $\Delta$ /yhl042w $\Delta$  strains were 0.0859 h<sup>-1</sup> and 0.0824 h<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Although, no significant differences were observed in the growth rates, the mutants reached about 12–14% higher stationary biomass concentrations than that of the wild type strain (*p*-value < 0.001) (Additional file 12).

#### Fermentation characteristics of S. cerevisiae strains

The fermentation characteristics of the wild type, ydr $307w\Delta$ /ydr $307w\Delta$  and yhl $042w\Delta$ /yhl $042w\Delta$  strains were investigated in the absence of ethanol (Table 3).

The maximum biomass concentrations as well as their  $\mu_{max}$  values were found to be very similar for all strains. However, the maximum ethanol concentrations observed by the ydr307w $\Delta$ /ydr307w $\Delta$  and yhl042w $\Delta$ /yhl042w $\Delta$  strains were 7% lower (*p*-value = 0.003) and 8% higher (*p*-value = 0.002) than that of the wild type strain, respectively. The ethanol yield on biomass was highest in yhl042w $\Delta$ /yhl042w $\Delta$  strain. The glucose was totally consumed by all strains at end of 18 hours of fermentation. However, the remaining glucose was found to be 14% higher (*p*-value = 0.02) in ydr307w $\Delta$ /ydr307w $\Delta$  strain than that of the wild type strain at t = 8.5 h (Figure 3).

It has to be noted that the deletion of *YHL042W* leading to an improved ethanol tolerance has also resulted in an increase in the ethanol production. Although the efficiency of ethanol production in ethanol tolerant strains is important, most of the toxicity studies rely on the viability analysis of the strains in the presence of ethanol [1,11,35,37,38]. However, the engineered yeast strains with both improved ethanol tolerance and ethanol production were reported with 8% [71] and 15% [16] ethanol yields or reaching to 25.7% [72] and 6% [73] ethanol concentrations.

## Global transcriptional response to YDR307W and YHL042W gene deletions

In order to shed light into the molecular mechanisms involved in the improvement of ethanol tolerance as well as to assign a possible function to these two unknown genes, the genome-wide transcriptional response of yeast to the deletion of *YDR307W* and *YHL042W* was investigated and compared with their parent, *S. cerevisiae* BY4743.

The samples for transcriptomic analysis were taken at the mid-exponential phase of growth from cultures grown in YPD. When compared with the reference strain, a total of 37 and 94 genes displayed significantly altered expression levels in response to *YDR307W* and *YHL042W* gene deletions, respectively and GO process terms which are significantly associated with these genes were identified (Figure 4). Furthermore, the integration of the gene regulatory network with the transcriptome data using Reporter Features algorithm [49,50] revealed the key transcriptional factors around which significant transcriptional changes occur.

In response to *YDR307W* gene deletion, 12 genes were up-regulated and they were significantly enriched for growth associated processes including ribosome biogenesis (*p*-value < 0.00001) and rRNA processing (*p*-value < 0.001) (Figure 4). Ribosome biogenesis was reported to be a critical factor for yeast cells during industrial environmental stress [74] and was found to be carefully regulated in ethanol adapted and ethanol tolerant yeast cells under ethanol stress [75,76].

14 genes were significantly repressed in a response to this deletion. The down-regulated genes were found to be significantly enriched with energy reserve metabolic process (p-value < 0.001). The genes involved in glycogen synthesis and accumulation, namely, GSY1, GLC3, IGD1, and RGI1 encoding a protein of unknown function involved in energy metabolism under respiratory conditions were identified within this group. Manual inspection of the remaining down-regulated genes which are not statistically enriched with a specific GO biological process term, revealed that MTH1 encoding a negative regulator of glucose sensing signal transduction pathway and, TFS1 which encodes an anionic phospholipid binding protein involved in the regulation of the protein kinase A (PKA) signaling pathway as well as in the inhibition of vacuolar protease CPY were significantly repressed in response to this deletion. CYC7 encoding an electron carrier involved in cellular respiration, DCS2 involved in the regulation of stress, SPI1 encoding a GPI-anchored cell wall protein involved

 Table 3 Comparison of fermentation parameters of S. cerevisiae strains

Parameter	Strains				
	Wild type	ydr307w∆/ydr307w∆	yhl042w∆/yhl042w∆		
Final DCW (g/L)	5.462	5.458	5.457		
Max. ethanol conc. (g/L)*	6.59	6.15	7.14		
Total glucose utilized (g/L)	18.46	18.36	18.46		
$\mu_{max}$ (h <sup>-1</sup> )	0.453	0.454	0.451		
Yps (g ethanol/g glucose)*	0.357	0.335	0.387		
Ypx (g ethanol/g biomass)	1.207	1.127	1.308		

(\*) indicates significantly different (p-value < 0.005) fermentation parameters of mutants when compared to the wild type strain.



in weak acid resistance and *STF2* involved in resistance to dessication stress were also identified among the down-regulated genes. The majority of these down-regulated genes, namely *STF2* and its paralog *TMA10*, *RTC3* encoding a protein of unknown function, *CYC7* and *TFS1*,

were reported to be induced in response to DNA replication stress.

Reporter TF analysis indicated that significantly important transcriptional changes occur around the stress induced transcription factors Xbp1p and Sko1p,



significantly and differentially expressed in response to gene deletion; and the enriched GO biological process terms (p-value < 0.01) among the up- and down-regulated genes.

and transcription factors associated with filamentous growth (Mga1p and Flo8p) in response to the deletion of *YDR307W* in yeast.

Induction of the genes involved in ribosome biogenesis and repression of the genes involved in glycogen biosynthesis indicate a mis-perception of the glucose in the environment resulting possibly from a defect in the glucose sensing and signaling pathways or transport mechanism.

In response to the deletion of YHL042W, 69 genes were identified to be up-regulated in yeast. The genes MFA1, MFA2, STE2, STE5, STE18, FUS3, FAR1, and RGS2 were significantly associated with G-protein coupled receptor signaling pathway (p-value < 0.0001). G-protein alphasubunit binding process was already reported to be involved in ethanol tolerance [3]. STE2 encodes the receptor for alpha-pheromone, STE18 encodes the gamma subunit of the trimeric G protein, STE5 encodes a MAPK scaffold, and FUS3 which encodes a MAPK, phosphorylates the cell regulator Far1p. This protein is a pheromone activated Cdk-inhibitor (CKI) and was reported to be involved in the cell cycle arrest [77]. RGS2, which encodes a negative regulator of glucose induced cAMP signaling pathway, is reported to activate the GTPase activity of the alpha subunit of the trimeric G protein with a potential relevance to longevity [78].

The chronological life span of  $yhl042w\Delta/yhl042w\Delta$  strain was found to be longer than that of the wild type. The mean life span (the day on which survival reaches 50%) of  $yhl042w\Delta/yhl042w\Delta$  was calculated to be 87.5% higher than that of the wild type strain (Figure 5).

The genes which are induced in a response to this deletion were also significantly enriched for sulfur amino acid metabolic process (*p*-value < 0.001), methionine metabolic process (*p*-value < 0.01) and carboxylic acid metabolic process (*p*-value < 0.01). Methionine, cysteine and S-adenosyl methionine (SAM) are synthesized by S-assimilation or methionine pathway and have important

roles in metabolism, redox reactions, initiation of protein synthesis and cell growth. Metabolites such as glutathione and acetyl Co-enzyme A contain sulfur atoms. The synthesis of phospholipids and polyamines are dependent on the transfer of methyl or aminopropyl groups from SAM. *MET32*, which is a transcriptional factor involved in the regulation of methionine biosynthetic genes, was identified among these up-regulated genes.

The genes (STR3, MET6, SER2, MHT1, MET1, MET2) encoding enzymes that catalyze at least one step in the biosynthesis of serine, homocysteine and methionine and SAM1 encoding an enzyme for the conversion of methionine to S-adenosyl methionine were up-regulated. HIS7 encoding the enzymes involved in the biosynthesis of histidine and in the conversion of L-glutamine to L-glutamate; ARG1 which encodes arginosuccinate synthetase that catalyzes the formation of L-argininosuccinate from citrulline and L-aspartate in the arginine biosynthesis pathway; and DUR1,2 which is involved in the allantoin degradation pathway that converts allantoin to ammonia and carbon dioxide were also found among the upregulated genes. ARG1 and DUR1,2 play roles in the utilization of citrulline and allantoin as nitrogen sources, respectively. Additionally, SRY1 encoding 3-hydroxyaspartate dehydratase which catalyzes the deamination of L-threo-3-hydroxyaspartate to form oxaloacetate and ammonia; CIT2 encoding citrate synthetase which catalyze the condensation of acetyl co-enzyme A to oxaloacetate to form citrate; MTD1 encoding 5,10-methylenetetrahydrafolate dehydrogenase involved in the biosynthesis of folate; and FAA2 encoding medium chain fatty acyl-CoA synthetase involved in the activation of imported fatty acids were induced in response to this deletion.

The integration of the regulatory network with the transcriptomic response of the *YHL042W* deleted strain revealed 13 transcription factors (p < 0.05) around which the most significant transcriptional changes occur. Met32p,



Met31p, Met28p, and Cbf1p involved in the regulation of sulfur metabolism and/or the regulation of methionine biosynthetic genes; Dal81p, a positive regulator of genes in multiple nitrogen degradation pathways; Dal82p, a positive regulator of allophanate inducible genes; Bas1p and Stp2p regulating amino acid metabolism; Yap1p required for oxidative stress tolerance; Zap1p involved in the transcriptional regulation of certain genes in the presence of zinc; Mot3p involved in repression of hypoxic genes during aerobic growth and ergosterol biosynthetic genes in response to hyperosmotic stress; Cst6p, a basic leucine zipper transcription factor; and Rim101p involved in alkaline responsive gene repression and cell wall assembly were the identified reporter transcription factors.

The deletion of YHL042W seems to mimic sulfur or methionine deprivation of auxotrophic yeast strains. Sulfur or methionine deprivation was reported to repress the iron import due to the lack of sulfur atoms for Fe-S biogenesis [79]. Manual investigation of the up-regulated genes which are not significantly enriched with a specific GO biological process term, revealed that FIT2 which encodes a protein involved in the retention of siderophore-iron in the cell wall and FRE2 which encodes a ferric/cupric reductase involved in the reduction of sidereophore bound iron and in the oxidation of copper prior to uptake were induced in response to this deletion. Additionally, IZH4 encoding a membrane protein involved in zinc ion homeostasis with a possible role in sterol metabolism and ZRT1 and ZRT2 encoding high- and lowaffinity zinc transporters were also found to be significantly over-expressed in this deletion strain. Furthermore, MMP1 and SAM3 which encode high-affinity S-methylmethionine and S-adenosylmethionine permeases, MUP1 and MUP3 which encode high- and low-affinity methionine permeases, YNL024C which encodes a putative methyl transferase and SSU1 which encodes a plasma membrane sulfite pump required for efficient sulfite efflux were significantly and differentially up-regulated in response to this deletion. The genes encoding Jen1p, a membrane located monocarboxylate/proton symporter involved in the transport of high affinity carbon sources, Mch1p with a similarity to a mammalian monocarboxylate permease, Atr1p, a multidrug efflux pump and Opt1p which is a proton coupled oligopeptide transporter involved in the transport of glutathione were also observed to be significantly induced in this deleted strain.

All these findings provide supportive evidence that the deletion of *YHL042W* in yeast is experienced as the methionine or sulfur deprivation by these yeast cells although there was no missing environmental nutritional factor from the environment.

14 genes were found to be significantly and differentially down-regulated in response to the deletion of *YHL042W*. However, they are not found to be significantly enriched

with any biological process term. Manual investigation of the down-regulated genes revealed that *ARO9* which encodes the first step of the catabolic metabolic process of aromatic amino acids and *ALD3* which encodes cytoplasmic aldehyde dehydrogenase were significantly repressed in response to this deletion. Furthermore, *GND1* encoding phosphogluconate dehydrogenase that is involved in the regeneration of NADPH; *SOL4* encoding 6-phosphogluconolactonase that functions in pentose-phosphate pathway; and *NQM1* which encodes a transaldolase of unknown function were also found to be significantly down-regu-

lated in this deleted strain. Another member of DUP380 sub-family, *COS8*, which has a possible role in the unfolded protein response and *HSP26* involved in the suppression of unfolded protein aggregation were also identified among the down-regulated genes in a response to the deletion of *YHL042W*. Moreover, *TIS11* involved in iron homeostasis, *TSA2* encoding a stress inducible cytoplasmic thioredoxin peroxidase involved in the removal of oxygen, nitrogen and sulfur species using thioredoxin as hydrogen donor, and *YER053C-A* encoding a protein of unknown function were also identified among the down-regulated genes. The amount of the proteins encoded by *TIS11*, *TSA2* and *YER053C-A* was reported to be increased in response to DNA replication stress [80].

7 genes were commonly repressed and 4 genes commonly induced in both strains in response to the deletions of *YDR307W* and *YHL042W*. However, they are not found to be significantly enriched with any biological process term. Manual inspection of the induced genes (*TOD6*, *SYO1*, *DHR2*, and *DBP2*) indicated that all these genes are involved in ribosome biogenesis and RNA processing, and the majority of the commonly down regulated genes including *TMA10*, *SOL4*, *FMP16*, *HSP42* and *RTN2* were reported to be induced under DNA replication stress.

#### Conclusions

There is an ever-growing interest in research towards understanding the metabolic processes and pathways associated with ethanol tolerance in S. cerevisiae. Within the framework of the present study, a network approach has been developed to identify the candidate genes leading to ethanol tolerance in S. cerevisiae. tETN harboring all candidate tolerance genes was found to be significantly enriched with biological process terms reported so far. The topological analysis indicated the hierarchical nature of the network and modular analysis identified 17 genes with unknown biological function. Investigations of the deletion strains of the randomly selected four of these genes, two strains carrying the deletions of YDR307W and YHL042W showed an improved ethanol tolerance. Although the deletion of other two genes (YPL264C and YMR215W), that were also identified as candidate,

resulted in decreased viability after prolonged treatment of ethanol, the effect of the over-expression of both genes needs to be further investigated to understand the association of these genes with ethanol tolerance in yeast.

The genome-wide transcriptomic response of yeast cells to the deletions of YDR307W and YHL042W under normal conditions, in the absence of ethanol revealed that the deletion of YDR307W and YHL042W genes resulted in the transcriptional re-programming of the metabolism due to a mis-perception of the nutritional environment. Induction of the genes involved in ribosome biogenesis and repression of the genes involved in glycogen biosynthesis possibly resulting from a defect in the glucose sensing and signaling pathways or transport mechanism in the absence of YDR307W requires further investigations to shed light into the molecular function of this gene in yeast. Yeast cells carrying homozygous deletions of YHL042W seem to have a deficiency in the sensing and signaling or transportation of methionine or sulfur mechanism. Since the ribosome biogenesis decreases in the presence of ethanol, up-regulation of ribosome biogenesis might be an important factor contributing to the improvement of ethanol tolerance in both cases. In the case of the yhl042w $\Delta$ /yhl042w $\Delta$ , the elongation of the chronological life span may be an important contributing factor in ethanol tolerance.

The present study supported further the highly complicated nature of ethanol tolerance in yeast. Highthroughput technologies should be used to test the ethanol tolerance of deletion and over-expression strains of all candidate genes. Furthermore, integrative analysis of the ethanol tolerant strains at different omics levels in the absence and presence of ethanol may shed light into the mechanisms leading to the improvement of ethanol tolerance.

#### **Additional files**

Additional file 1: Table S1. Annotation collection table of the core proteins.

Additional file 2: Table S2. Protein-protein interactions of ETN.

Additional file 3: Table S3. Protein-protein interactions of tETN.

Additional file 4: Figure S1. Connectivity and average clustering coefficient distributions of the reconstructed networks A) ETN B) tETN.

Additional file 5: Table 54. Topological properties of the reconstructed networks.

Additional file 6: Figure S2. Connectivity and average clustering coefficient distributions of BioGrid Network.

Additional file 7: Table S5. MCODE results for tETN.

**Additional file 8: Table S6.** Significantly enriched GO biological process terms of tETN clusters having at least 5 members.

Additional file 9: Figure S3. The clusters of four proteins (YDR307W, YHL042W, YMR215W, and YPL264C) that were selected as the first targets to test experimentally A) Cluster 4 B) Cluster 10.

Additional file 10: Figure S4. Colony-forming ability of *S. cerevisiae* cultures.

**Additional file 11: Figure S5.** Growth of the wild type strain in YPD supplemented with different concentrations of ethanol.

Additional file 12: Figure S6. Growth of S. cerevisiae strains in YPD supplemented with 8% (v/v) ethanol.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

BK and ETO defined the research theme; BK and CK conceived and designed the methods and experiments, CK carried out the laboratory experiments and performed the data analysis, SE carried out the microarray experiments, KYA contributed by providing a methodological perspective. BK and CK wrote the manuscript and all authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors greatly acknowledge Dr. Nianshu Zhang for his valuable opinions and the Turkish State Planning Organization DPT09K120520, Bogazici University Research Fund through Project No 6530 and Project No 7260, TUBITAK through Project No 110M692, and Marmara University Research Fund through Project No FEN-BGS-290506-0128 for the financial support provided for this research.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, Boğaziçi University, Istanbul, Turkey. <sup>2</sup>Department of Bioengineering, Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey.

Received: 10 April 2014 Accepted: 15 July 2014 Published: 8 August 2014

#### References

- Yazawa H, Iwahashi H, Uemura H: Disruption of URA7 and GAL6 improves the ethanol tolerance and fermentation capacity of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Yeast 2007, 24:551–560.
- Stanley D, Bandara A, Fraser S, Chambers PJ, Stanley GA: The ethanol stress response and ethanol tolerance of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J Appl Microbiol 2010, 109:13–24.
- 3. Ma M, Liu ZL: Mechanisms of Ethanol Tolerance in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol 2010, 87:829–845.
- 4. Bai FW, Anderson WA, Moo-Young M: Ethanol fermentation technologies from sugar and starch feedstocks. *Biotechnol Adv* 2008, 26:89–105.
- Alexandre H, Charpentier C: Biochemical aspects of stuck and sluggish fermentation in grape must. J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol 1998, 20:20–27.
- Bai FW, Chen LJ, Zhang Z, Anderson W, Moo-Young M: Continuous ethanol production and evaluation of yeast cell lysis and viability loss under very high gravity medium conditions. J Biotechnol 2004, 110:287–293.
- Fischer CR, Klein-Marcuschamer D, Stephanopoulos G: Selection and optimization of microbial hosts for Biofuels production. *Metab Eng* 2008, 10:295–304.
- Kasavi C, Finore I, Lama L, Nicolaus B, Oliver SG, Toksoy Oner E, Kirdar B: Evaluation of Industrial *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains for ethanol production from biomass. *Biomass Bioenergy* 2012, 45:230–238.
- Hirasawa T, Yoshikawa K, Nakakura Y, Nagahisa K, Furusawa C, Katakura Y, Shimizu H, Shioya S: Identification of target genes conferring ethanol stress tolerance to Saccharomyces cerevisiae based on DNA microarray data analysis. J Biotechnol 2007, 131:34–44.
- Ling H, Teo W, Chen B, Leong SSJ, Chang MW: Microbial tolerance engineering toward biochemical production: from lignocellulose to products. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 2014, 29C:99–106.
- Yoshikawa K, Tanaka T, Furusawa C, Nagahisa K, Hirasawa T, Shimizu H: Comprehensive phenotypic analysis for identification of genes affecting growth under ethanol stress in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2009, 9:32–44.
- Berry DB, Gasch AP: Stress-activated genomic expression changes serve a preparative role for impending stress in yeast. *Mol Biol Cell* 2008, 19(November):4580–4587.
- 13. Kubota S, Takeo I, Kume K, Kanai M, Shitamukai A, Mizunuma M, Miyakawa T, Shimoi H, lefuji H, Hirata D: Effect of ethanol on cell growth of budding

yeast: genes that are important for cell growth in the presence of ethanol. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* 2004, **68**:968–972.

- Fujita K, Matsuyama A, Kobayashi Y, Iwahashi H: The genome-wide screening of yeast deletion mutants to identify the genes required for tolerance to ethanol and other alcohols. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2006, 6:744–750.
- Van Voorst F, Houghton-Larsen J, Jønson L, Kielland-Brandt MC, Brandt A: Genome-wide identification of genes required for growth of Saccharomyces cerevisiae under ethanol stress. Yeast 2006, 23:351–359.
- Alper H, Moxley J, Nevoigt E, Fink GR, Stephanopoulos G: Engineering yeast transcription machinery for improved ethanol tolerance and production. *Science* 2006, 314(80):1565–1568.
- Li H, Ma M-L, Luo S, Zhang R-M, Han P, Hu W: Metabolic responses to ethanol in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* using a gas chromatography tandem mass spectrometry-based metabolomics approach. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 2012, 44:1087–1096.
- Vidal M, Cusick ME, Barabási AL: Interactome networks and human disease. *Cell* 2011, 144:986–998.
- 19. Barabási AL, Gulbahce N, Loscalzo J: **Network medicine: a network-based** approach to human disease. *Nat Rev Genet* 2011, **12**:56–68.
- 20. Jiang X, Liu B, Jiang J, Zhao H, Fan M, Zhang J, Fan Z, Jiang T: Modularity in the genetic disease-phenotype network. *FEBS Lett* 2008, **582**:2549–2554.
- 21. Rende D, Baysal N, Kirdar B: A novel integrative network approach to understand the interplay between cardiovascular disease and other complex disorders. *Mol Biosyst* 2011, **7**:2205–2219.
- Chen J, Yuan B: Detecting functional modules in the yeast proteinprotein interaction network. *Bioinformatics* 2006, 22:2283–2290.
- Karimpour-Fard A, Leach SM, Hunter LE, Gill RT: The topology of the bacterial co-conserved protein network and its implications for predicting protein function. *BMC Genomics* 2008, 9:313.
- Tsankov AM, Brown CR, Yu MC, Win MZ, Silver P, Casolari JM: Communication between levels of transcriptional control improves robustness and adaptivity. *Mol Syst Biol* 2006, 2:1–10.
- Vermeirssen V, Barrasa MI, Hidalgo C, Babon JAB, Sequerra R, Doucette-Stamm L, Barabási AL, Walhout AJM: Transcription factor modularity in a gene-centered *C. elegans* core neuronal protein-DNA interaction network. *Genome Res* 2007, 17:1061–1071.
- Simonis N, Gonze D, Orsi C, Van Helden J, Wodak SJ: Modularity of the transcriptional response of protein complexes in yeast. J Mol Biol 2006, 363:589–610.
- 27. Wang Z, Zhang J: In search of the biological significance of modular structures in protein networks. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2007, **3**:e107.
- Yoon J, Blumer A, Lee K: An algorithm for modularity analysis of directed and weighted biological networks based on edge-betweenness centrality. *Bioinformatics* 2006, 22:3106–3108.
- Tanay A, Sharan R, Kupiec M, Shamir R: Revealing modularity and organization in the yeast molecular network by integrated analysis of highly heterogeneous genomewide data. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2004, 101:2981–2986.
- Zhang S, Wang RS, Zhang XS: Identification of overlapping community structure in complex networks using fuzzy c-means clustering. *Phys A Stat Mech its Appl* 2007, 374:483–490.
- 31. Bader GD, Hogue CWV: An automated method for finding molecular complexes in large protein interaction networks. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2003, 4:2.
- Alberghina L, Mavelli G, Drovandi G, Palumbo P, Pessina S, Tripodi F, Coccetti P, Vanoni M: Cell growth and cell cycle in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: basic regulatory design and protein-protein interaction network. *Biotechnol Adv* 2012, 30:52–72.
- Arga YK, Önsan Zİ, Kırdar B, Ülgen KÖ, Nielsen J: Understanding signaling in yeast: insights from network analysis. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 2007, 97:1246–1258.
- Stark C, Breitkreutz BJ, Reguly T, Boucher L, Breitkreutz A, Tyers M: BioGRID: a general repository for interaction datasets. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2006, 34(Database issue):D535–D539.
- Lewis J, Elkon IM, McGee M, Higbee AJ, Gasch AP: Exploiting natural variation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae to identify genes for increased ethanol resistance. *Genetics* 2010, 186:1197–1205.
- Hong ME, Lee KS, Yu BJ, Sung YJ, Park SM, Koo HM, Kweon DH, Park JC, Jin YS: Identification of gene targets eliciting improved alcohol tolerance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* through inverse metabolic engineering. *J Biotechnol* 2010, 149:52–59.

- Kim HS, Kim NR, Choi W: Total fatty acid content of the plasma membrane of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is more responsible for ethanol tolerance than the degree of unsaturation. *Biotechnol Lett* 2011, 33:509–515.
- Jiménez-Martí E, Zuzuarregui A, Ridaura I, Lozano N, Del Olmo M: Genetic manipulation of HSP26 and YHR087W stress genes may improve fermentative behaviour in wine yeasts under vinification conditions. Int J Food Microbiol 2009, 130:122–130.
- Assenov Y, Ramírez F, Schelhorn SE, Lengauer T, Albrecht M: Computing topological parameters of biological networks. *Bioinformatics* 2008, 24:282–284.
- Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, Amin N, Schwikowski B, Ideker T: Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Res* 2003, 13:2498–2504.
- Maere S, Heymans K, Kuiper M: BiNGO: a cytoscape plugin to assess overrepresentation of gene ontology categories in biological networks. *Bioinformatics* 2005, 21:3448–3449.
- Brachmann CB, Davies A, Cost GJ, Caputo E, Li J, Hieter P, Boeke JD: Designer deletion strains derived from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* S288C: a useful set of strains and plasmids for PCR-mediated gene disruption and other applications. *Yeast* 1998, 14:115–132.
- Brajtburg J, Elberg S, Medoff G, Kobayashi GS: Increase in colony-forming units of *Candida albicans* after treatment with polyene antibiotics. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1981, 19:199–200.
- Bolstad BM, Irizarry R, Astrand M, Speed TP: A comparison of normalization methods for high density oligonucleotide array data based on variance and bias. *Bioinformatics* 2003, 19:185–193.
- Gautier L, Cope L, Bolstad BM, Irizarry R: Affy-analysis of affymetrix genechip data at the probe level. *Bioinformatics* 2004, 20:307–315.
- 46. Gentleman RC, Carey VJ, Bates DM, Bolstad B, Dettling M, Dudoit S, Ellis B, Gautier L, Ge Y, Gentry J, Hornik K, Hothorn T, Huber W, Iacus S, Irizarry R, Leisch F, Li C, Maechler M, Rossini AJ, Sawitzki G, Smith C, Smyth G, Tierney L, Yang JYH, Zhang J: Bioconductor: open software development for computational biology and bioinformatics. *Genome Biol* 2004, 5:R80.
- Smyth GK: Linear models and empirical Bayes methods for assessing diferential expression in microarray experiments. *Stat Appl Genet Mol Biol* 2004, 3:Article 3.
- Carbon S, Ireland A, Mungall CJ, Shu S, Marshall B, Lewis S: AmiGO: online access to ontology and annotation data. *Bioinformatics* 2009, 25:288–289.
- Oliveira AP, Patil KR, Nielsen J: Architecture of transcriptional regulatory circuits is knitted over the topology of bio-molecular interaction networks. *BMC Syst Biol* 2008, 2:1–16.
- Patil KR, Nielsen J: Uncovering transcriptional regulation of metabolism by using metabolic network topology. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005, 102:2685–2689.
- Cvijovic M, Olivares-Hernández R, Agren R, Dahr N, Vongsangnak W, Nookaew I, Patil KR, Nielsen J: BioMet toolbox: genome-wide analysis of metabolism. Nucleic Acids Res 2010, 38(Web Server issue):W144–W149.
- Teixeira MC, Monteiro PT, Guerreiro JF, Gonçalves JP, Mira NP, Dos Santos SC, Cabrito TR, Palma M, Costa C, Francisco AP, Madeira SC, Oliveira AL, Freitas AT, Sá-Correia I: The YEASTRACT database: an upgraded information system for the analysis of gene and genomic transcription regulation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nucleic Acids Res 2014, 42:D161–166.
- Cankorur-Cetinkaya A, Eraslan S, Kirdar B: Transcriptional remodelling in response to changing copper levels in the Wilson and Menkes disease model of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Biosyst 2013, 9:2889–2908.
- 54. Mrowka R, Patzak A, Herzel H: Is there a bias in proteome research? Genome Res 2001, 11:1971–1973.
- Von Mering C, Krause R, Snel B, Cornell M, Oliver S, Fields S, Bork P: Comparative assessment of large-scale data sets of protein-protein interactions. *Nature* 2002, 417:399–403.
- 56. Karagoz K, Arga KY: Assessment of high-confidence protein-protein interactome in yeast. *Comput Biol Chem* 2013, 45:1–8.
- Lohmann G, Margulies DS, Horstmann A, Pleger B, Lepsien J, Goldhahn D, Schloegl H, Stumvoll M, Villringer A, Turner R: Eigenvector centrality mapping for analyzing connectivity patterns in fmri data of the human brain. *PLoS One* 2010, 5:e10232.
- Wang J, Chen G, Li M, Pan Y: Integration of breast cancer gene signatures based on graph centrality. *BMC Syst Biol* 2011, 5(Suppl 3):S10.

- Ravasz E, Somera AL, Mongru DA, Oltvai ZN, Barabási AL: Hierarchical organization of modularity in metabolic networks. *Science* 2002, 297(80):1551–1555.
- 60. Ravasz E, Barabási AL: Hierarchical organization in complex networks. Phys Rev E Stat Nonlin Soft Matter Phys 2003, **67**(2 Pt 2):1–7.
- Pavlopoulos GA, Secrier M, Moschopoulos CN, Soldatos TG, Kossida S, Aerts J, Schneider R, Bagos PG: Using graph theory to analyze biological networks. *Bio Data Min* 2011, 4:10.
- 62. Gentzsch M, Tanner W: The PMT gene family: protein O-Glycosylation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae is vital. EMBO J 1996, 15:5752–5759.
- Despons L, Wirth B, Louis VL, Potier S, Souciet JL: An evolutionary scenario for one of the largest yeast gene families. *Trends Genet* 2006, 22:10–15.
- 64. Giaever G, Chu AM, Ni L, Connelly C, Riles L, Véronneau S, Dow S, Lucau-Danila A, Anderson K, André B, Arkin AP, Astromoff A, El-Bakkoury M, Bangham R, Benito R, Brachat S, Campanaro S, Curtiss M, Davis K, Deutschbauer A, Entian KD, Flaherty P, Foury F, Garfinkel D, Gerstein M, Gotte D, Güldener U, Hegemann JH, Hempel S, Herman Z, et al: Functional profiling of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae genome. Nature 2002, 418:387–391.
- 65. Popolo L, Vai M: The gas1 glycoprotein, a putative wall polymer cross-linker. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1999, **1426**:385–400.
- Hamada K, Terashima H, Arisawa M, Yabuki N, Kitada K: Amino acid residues in the omega-minus region participate in cellular localization of yeast glycosylphosphatidylinositol-attached proteins. J Bacteriol 1999, 181:3886–3889.
- Mouyna I, Monod M, Fontaine T, Henrissat B, Léchenne B, Latgé JP: Identification of the catalytic residues of the first family of β(1–3) glucanosyltransferases identified in fungi. *Biochem J* 2000, 347(Pt 3):741–747.
- Rolli E, Ragni E, Rodriguez-Pena JM, Arroyo J, Popolo L: GAS3, a developmentally regulated gene, encodes a highly mannosylated and inactive protein of the gas family of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Yeast* 2010, 27:597–610.
- Kim H, Melén K, Von Heijne G: Topology models for 37 Saccharomyces cerevisiae membrane proteins based on C-terminal reporter fusions and predictions. J Biol Chem 2003, 278:10208–10213.
- Millson SH, Truman AW, King V, Prodromou C, Pearl LH, Piper PW: A two-hybrid screen of the yeast proteome for Hsp90 interactors uncovers a novel Hsp90 chaperone requirement in the activity of a stress-activated mitogen-activated protein Kinase, Slt2p (Mpk1p). *Eukaryot Cell* 2005, 4:849–860.
- Tao X, Zheng D, Liu T, Wang P, Zhao W, Zhu M, Jiang X, Zhao Y, Wu X: A novel strategy to construct yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains for very high gravity fermentation. PLoS One 2012, 7:e31235.
- Thammasittirong SN-R, Thirasaktana T, Thammasittirong A, Srisodsuk M: Improvement of ethanol production by ethanol-tolerant Saccharomyces cerevisiae UVNR56. Springerplus 2013, 2:583.
- 73. Teixeira MC, Godinho CP, Cabrito TR, Mira NP, Sá-Correia I: Increased expression of the yeast multidrug resistance ABC transporter Pdr18 leads to increased ethanol tolerance and ethanol production in high gravity alcoholic fermentation. *Microb Cell Fact* 2012, 11:1–9.
- Anderson MJ, Barker SL, Boone C, Measday V: Identification of RCN1 and RSA3 as ethanol-tolerant genes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* using a high copy barcoded library. *FEMS Yeast Res* 2012, 12:48–60.
- Dinh TN, NaDgahisa K, Yoshikawa K, Hirasawa T, Furusawa C, Shimizu H: Analysis of adaptation to high ethanol concentration in *Saccharomyces* cerevisiae using DNA microarray. *Bioprocess Biosys Eng* 2009, 32:681–688.
- Li BZ, Cheng JS, Ding MZ, Yuan YJ: Transcriptome analysis of differential responses of diploid and haploid yeast to ethanol stress. J Biotechnol 2010, 148:194–203.
- 77. Michaelis S, Herskowitz I: **The a-factor pheromone of** *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is essential for mating. *Mol Cell Biol* 1988, 8:1309–1318.
- Managbanag JR, Witten TM, Bonchev D, Fox L, Tsuchiya M, Kennedy BK, Kaeberlein M: Shortest-path network analysis is a useful approach toward identifying genetic determinants of longevity. *PLoS One* 2008, 3:e3802.

- McIsaac RS, Petti A, Bussemaker HJ, Botstein D: Perturbation-based analysis and modeling of combinatorial regulation in the yeast sulfur assimilation pathway. *Mol Biol Cell* 2012, 23:2993–3007.
- Tkach JM, Yimit A, Lee AY, Riffle M, Costanzo M, Jaschob D, Hendry J, Ou J, Moffat J, Boone C, Davis TN, Nislow C, Brown GW: Dissecting DNA damage response pathways by analysing protein localization and abundance changes during DNA replication stress. Nat Cell Biol 2012, 14:966–976.

#### doi:10.1186/s12918-014-0090-6

Cite this article as: Kasavi et al.: A system based network approach to ethanol tolerance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *BMC Systems Biology* 2014 8:90.

## Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit